

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
2 Attorney General of the State of California
3 THOMAS GREENE
4 Assistant Chief Attorney General
5 KATHLEEN E. FOOTE
6 Senior Assistant Attorney General
7 NICOLE GORDON
8 Deputy Attorney General
9 State Bar No. 224138
10 SANGEETHA M. RAGHUNATHAN
11 Deputy Attorney General
12 State Bar No. 229129
13 EMILIO VARANINI
14 Deputy Attorney General
15 State Bar No. 163952
16 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
17 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
18 Telephone: (415) 703-5908
19 Fax: (415) 703-5480
20 Email: emilio.varanini@doj.ca.gov

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff States

22
23 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
24
25 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
26
27 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

28
29 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
30 Plaintiffs,
31 v.
32 INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG, et al.,
33 Defendants.

34 Case No. C 06-4333 PJH

35 JOINT STIPULATION AND
36 [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
37 TIMING OF PLAINTIFF STATES'
38 RESPONSES TO CONTENTION
39 INTERROGATORIES AND
40 REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

41 Pursuant to the Court's September 17, 2007 Order, Plaintiff States and Defendants Nanya
42 Technology Corp. and Nanya Technology USA (collectively "Nanya"), Mosel Vitelic Inc. and
43 Mosel Vitelic Corp. (collectively "Mosel"), Infineon Technology AG and Infineon Technology
44 North America Corp. (collectively "Infineon"), Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and Hynix
45 Semiconductor America Inc. (collectively "Hynix"), Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron
46 Semiconductor Products, Inc. (collectively "Micron"), and Elpida Memory, Inc. and Elpida

1 Memory (USA) Inc. (collectively "Elpida"), engaged in meet-and-confer communications
 2 regarding the timing of Plaintiff States' responses to Defendants' contention interrogatories and
 3 contention interrogatory-like requests for production of documents. By and through their
 4 counsel, the parties jointly submit this stipulation resulting from the meet-and-confer regarding
 5 the timing of Plaintiffs States' responses to contention interrogatories and contention-
 6 interrogatory-like. By way of illustration, these contention interrogatories and requests include,
 7 but are not limited to, the following:

- 8 1. Nanya's First Set of Interrogatories and First Set of Requests for Production,
 served June 13, 2007 (Interrogatory Nos. 1, 2, 7, 10-17, 20-24 & Request No. 1);
- 10 2. Mosel's First Set of Interrogatories and First Set of Requests for Production, June
 14, 2007 (Interrogatory Nos. 3, 4, 8-13 & Request Nos. 5-8);
- 12 3. Infineon's First Set of Interrogatories and First Set of Requests for Production,
 served June 15, 2007 (Interrogatory Nos. 1-25 & Request No. 37);
- 14 4. Hynix's First Set of Interrogatories, served April 20, 2007, Second Set of
 Interrogatories, served June 15, 2007, and First, Second, and Third Set of Requests for
 Production, served April 20, 2007 (Interrogatory Nos. 16-17, 24-25 & Request Nos. 59 and 77);
- 17 5. Elpida's First, Second, and Third Set of Interrogatories, served June 15, 2007,
 First and Second Requests for Production, served April 27, 2007, and Third Set of Requests for
 Production, served June 15, 2007 (Interrogatory Nos. 1-5, 8-9, 19-23 & Request Nos. 44, 51-53,
 55-56, 58-59)¹;
- 21 6. Micron's First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production, served March 7,
 2007 (Interrogatory Nos. 1, 2, & 12-14); and
- 23 7. Any interrogatories or requests to which Plaintiff States or Plaintiff the State of
 New York have already objected to as being a premature contention interrogatory.

25
 26 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties and their counsel, subject to
 27

28 1. Nothing in this stipulation shall alter Plaintiff States' obligations with respect to Plaintiff
 States' and Elpida's Joint Stipulation and Order Re Timing of Plaintiff States' Responses to Class
 Certification Interrogatories that this Court ordered on September 7, 2007.

1 the approval of the Court, that the Plaintiff States shall serve responses to Defendants' contention
2 interrogatories and contention-like-requests for production of documents, thirty (30) days before
3 the date set for service of the non-class certification expert reports, with the following exception:
4 a. The amount of the Plaintiff States' claim for damages²;
5 b. All calculations supporting the amount of the Plaintiff States' claim for damages;
6 and
7 c. Any documents, data or other information generated by the Plaintiffs States'
8 experts as expert work product in the course of calculating the Plaintiff States' claim for damages
9 or otherwise in preparing their reports, subject to the guidelines set forth in the Stipulation and
10 Order Regarding Procedures Governing Expert Discovery, entered on June 26, 2007 in *State of*
11 *California v. Infineon Technologies AG*, C 06-4333 PJH.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 2. The parties also agree that certain interrogatories on the amount of damages – including Hynix
Interrogatories Nos. 22 and 23 and similar ones asserted by other defendants – will be answered
at the same time as the non-class certification expert report, subject to Plaintiffs' existing
objections.

1 The information, data, and documents specified in (a), (b), and (c) will be provided to
2 Defendants concurrent with service of the Plaintiff States' non-class certification expert report in
3 both actions. All responses shall be substantive and provided in the form contemplated by the
4 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff States' may continue to assert existing objections and
5 will provide responses consistent with said objections in accordance with the Federal Rules of
6 Civil Procedure. Plaintiff States' may not assert new objections.

7 | Dated: October 26, 2007

9 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
10 Attorney General of the State of California
11 THOMAS GREENE
12 Chief Assistant Attorney General
11 KATHLEEN E. FOOTE
12 NICOLE GORDON
12 SANGEETHA RAGHUNATHAN
12 EMILIO E. VARANINI

/S/
EMILIO E. VARANINI

16 | *Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff States*

ANDREW CUOMO
Attorney General of the State of New York
JAY L. HIMES
RICHARD L. SCHWARTZ
JEREMY R. KASHA

/S/

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

KENNETH R. O'ROURKE
MICHAEL F. TUBACH
STEVEN H. BERGMAN
JANE Y. CHANG
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071

/S/
STEVEN H. BERGMAN

*Attorneys for Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and
Hynix Semiconductor America Inc. and, for
purposes of this stipulation only, signing on
behalf of all other defendants*

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 29, 2007

